## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

Case	4:11-cr-00416-SBA D	Document	3 Filed 04/1	19/12 F	Page 1 of 1	►.
United States District Court NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION  OAKLAND DIVISION  OAKLAND DIVISION  OAKLAND DIVISION  OAKLAND DIVISION						
United States of A	america,	) (	Case No. CR	11-4	-16-SBA	HERN DISTRICT COLOR OAN CTO COLOR
V. Joseph Coo De	aintiff,	,	STIPULATED UNDER THE S		EXCLUDING TRIAL ACT	TIME
Dej	fendant.	)				
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $4/9/2013$ , 2011, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $4/9/2011$ to $5/1/12$ , 2011 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):						
Failure to grant a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.  See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).						
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).						
Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
IT IS SO ORDER DATED: 4/19	ED.		Candis A. West United States M		Stroll Judge	<b>)</b>
STIPULATED: <u>~</u> A	ttorney for Defendant		Assistant United	d States A	Attorney	